

# Table of Contents

• Dedication .....	iii
• Biographies .....	v
• Foreword by Dr. Chanth Seyone, M.D., FRCPC .....	vii
• Foreword by Jerome Morse, LL.B. .....	xiii
• Acknowledgements .....	xv
• Note on CD-ROM .....	xvii
• Table of Cases .....	xxv

## Section One

### The Problem: Bias in the Courtroom

<b>Chapter One — The Role of Faith in Adjudication .....</b>	<b>3</b>
1. Trial by Ordeal: Faith in the Divine .....	4
2. Trial by Advocacy: Faith in the Jury .....	5
3. The Rule of Law: The Beginning .....	6
4. The Developed Rule of Law: Faith in the Judge .....	9
5. Faith and Perspectives: Admissions of Judicial Bias .....	15

### Direction and Order



1

(a) Trial Judges: Impact on Trial .....	15
(b) U.S. Judges Know Fungibility is Wanting .....	15
(c) Canadian Judges Know Fungibility is Wanting .....	16
(d) The Canadian Media Know that Judges Lack Fungibility .....	16
(e) The U.S. Media Know that Judges Lack Fungibility .....	17
(f) Canadian Heads of Government Know that Judges Lack Fungibility .....	18
(g) U.S. Heads of Government Know that Judges Lack Fungibility .....	18

---

<sup>1</sup> Hoberman, Gerald & Marc, San Francisco (Boca Raton: The Gerald & Marc Hoberman Collection, 2003) at 27.

## Table of Contents

(h) Judicial Regulators Know that Judges Lack Fungibility .....	18	2. The Autonomy of Words from Reality .....	51
6. The Problem Emerges .....	19	3. U.S. Judicial Recognition of Textual Autonomy .....	53
<b>Chapter Two — Faith and Bias: Apparent Enforcement of the Judicial Blindfold .....</b>	<b>23</b>	(a) Living Thoughts .....	53
1. The Canadian Trial .....	23	(b) Williston and Meaningful Words .....	53
2. The First Review: One Appellate Judge .....	25	(c) A Word is a Symbol of Thought .....	55
3. The Second Review: Three Appellate Judges .....	26	(d) Corbin and Meaningless Words .....	56
4. The Third Review: Nine Appellate Judges .....	29	(e) A Primitive Faith .....	58
(a) The Majority: Judges Apply “Wisdom gained from personal experience”.....	30	(f) No “Universal Meaning” .....	59
(b) Concurring Reasons: “We can never see [things] with eyes except our own” .....	34	(g) Through a Glass, Darkly .....	59
(c) The Dissent: “Life experience is no substitute for evidence” .....	37	4. Canadian Judicial Recognition of Textual Autonomy .....	60
5. Graphing “Impartiality” .....	39	(a) Understanding Subjectivity .....	60
6. The American Trial and Appeal .....	41	(b) Common Sense or Common Subjectivity? .....	62
(a) Interpretation and Discretion .....	45	(c) The Biased “Reasonable Person” .....	64
<b>Chapter Three — The Meaning of Meaningless Evidence: The Beginning .....</b>	<b>49</b>	5. The Ghost of Williston: The “New Textualists” .....	65
1. The Jurist, the Lawyer and the Linguist .....	49	6. Advocacy: “Assessing Evidence” and Applying the Law .....	69
Appendix A: Percentage of Cases Referring to Dictionaries, 1935 Term – 1992 Term .....	75		

## Table of Contents

<b>Chapter Four — The Meaning of Meaningless Evidence: Linguistics and Meta-Mathematics .....</b>	<b>77</b>
1. Linguistics: A Primer .....	77
(a) Meaning is Personal .....	77
(b) Beyond Language: The Impact of Circumstances Upon Meaning .....	81
(c) Meaning, Truth and Logic .....	85
2. Meta-Mathematics: Understanding the Subjectivity of Truth and Logic .....	90
(a) Meta-Mathematics and Truth .....	90
(b) A Simple Algorithm .....	93
<b>Chapter Five — Signs, Signs, Signs, and Schematic Bias .....</b>	<b>101</b>
1. The Impact of Life Experience on Perception and Comprehension .....	101
2. Comprehension and “Mental Pictures” .....	102
3. Comprehension and Schematic Bias .....	107
(a) Schematic Bias: Comprehension of Real World .....	110
(b) Schematic Bias: Context .....	112
4. Schematic Interpretation of Language: The Difference is in the Details .....	115
(a) The Cinema: Part of the Whole Relationship .....	117
(b) The Throw .....	118
5. Inferential Biases .....	121
6. Bias and Gender Differences .....	123
7. The Gap in Mutual Understanding .....	124
<b>Chapter Six — The Meaning of Memory: Understanding the “Reasonable Person” .....</b>	<b>127</b>
1. A Neuroscience Primer: Sense and Recognition .....	127
2. Meaning, Memory and Schemata .....	133
3. Neuroscience on Schemata and Chemical Endorsements .....	137
(a) Capgras Syndrome: Absent Chemical Endorsement of Schemata .....	140
(b) Phantom Limb: Believing is Seeing .....	143
4. Impact Upon Advocacy and Adjudication .....	146
(a) The “Groupes Sémiotiques” .....	146
(b) Application to Adjudication and Advocacy .....	150

## Table of Contents

- (c) Making Sense of the Implications ..... 151
- (d) Impact Upon the Rule of Law ..... 152

## Section Two

### The Solution: Demonstrative Advocacy

#### **Chapter Seven — The Solution: The Meaning of Meaningful Evidence ..... 159**

- 1. Demonstrative Advocacy: The Solution ..... 159
  - (a) First Component: Inequality of Signs and Integration ..... 164
  - (b) F.A.D.E.: Especially Problematic Words ..... 165
- 2. The D.A. Basics ..... 169
  - (a) Illustrating Your Theory and Themes ..... 169
  - (b) Benchmarking Context ..... 174
  - (c) Authentication of Witnesses ..... 175
  - (d) Shattering Stereotypes ..... 178
  - (e) Content, Conflict and Completeness of Expert Reports ..... 181
  - (f) Suffering and Loss ..... 184

- 3. Application: “The High School Dropout Who Never Gave Up” ..... 185

- 4. Trial and Tragedy ..... 186

- Appendix A: Plaintiff’s Book of Demonstrative Evidence ..... 190

- Appendix B: Tragedy and Advocacy ..... 205

#### **Chapter Eight — Visual Definition I: Clusters, Layering, Silhouettes, Illustration and Integration ..... 207**

- 1. The Pareto Rule ..... 207
- 2. Back to the BASICS and Pleadings ..... 208
  - (a) Evidence Categorization ..... 208
  - (b) The Factual Matrix: Pleadings ..... 208
- 3. The Hero in the Pathological Gambler ..... 209
  - (a) “Pre-Pathological Gambling” ..... 211
    - i. Combining D.A. Purposes ..... 211
    - ii. Documentary Text Selection and Real Evidence ..... 212
    - iii. Demonstrative Advocacy Cluster Diagram (“D.A.C.D.”) ..... 217

## Table of Contents

(b)	D. A. Purposes: Shattering Stereotypes & Content, Conflict and Completeness of Expert Reports .....	218	Appendix D: Statement of Law: “Elderly Canadian South Asian Lady” .....	262
	i. Cause and Effect .....	218		
	ii. Documentary Text Sources and Selection .....	220		
	iii. Demonstrative Merger .....	222		
(c)	D.A. Purpose: Illustrating Your Theory .....	223		
	i. Slots: The Near Miss .....	223	1. Understanding Numbers .....	281
	ii. Documentary Text Sources and Selection .....	224	(a) Demonstrative Advocacy Graph Theory .....	284
	iii. Demonstrative Pinpointing .....	225	(b) Back to Treyes’ Book of Demonstrative Evidence .....	286
	iv. D.A. Purpose .....	226	i. D.A. Graph Theory: A Directed, Weighted Planar Cone Graph .....	286
	v. Text Sources and Selection .....	227	ii. D.A.C. and Original Evidence .....	288
	vi. Demonstrative Advocacy Cluster Diagram .....	228	iii. Weighted Non-Planar Graph .....	290
4.	Concluding Remarks .....	228	iv. Directed Weighted Cartesian Bar Graph .....	291
	Appendix A: The Toddler’s Statement of Claim .....	230	v. Back to Non-Planar Graphs .....	294
	Appendix B: <i>Treyes v. OLG</i> .....	243		
	Appendix C: Book of Demonstrative Evidence: “Elderly Canadian South Asian Lady” .....	248		
			Chapter Nine — Visual Definition II: Demonstrative Graph Theory and Symbol Mapping .....	281
			1. Understanding Numbers .....	281
			(a) Demonstrative Advocacy Graph Theory .....	284
			(b) Back to Treyes’ Book of Demonstrative Evidence .....	286
			i. D.A. Graph Theory: A Directed, Weighted Planar Cone Graph .....	286
			ii. D.A.C. and Original Evidence .....	288
			iii. Weighted Non-Planar Graph .....	290
			iv. Directed Weighted Cartesian Bar Graph .....	291
			v. Back to Non-Planar Graphs .....	294
			Chapter Ten — Admissibility and the Evidentiary Networks .....	297
			1. Inferential Links in the Evidence and Admissibility .....	297
			2. Science of Networks .....	300
			3. Admissibility: Relevancy, the Exclusionary Discretion and the Rule of Law .....	304

## Table of Contents

(a)	Relevancy: The “Connectors” .....	304
(b)	Connectors and Admissibility .....	306
(c)	The Exclusionary Discretion .....	307
(d)	Probative Value vs. Prejudice .....	308
i.	Back to Weight .....	314
ii.	The Assault on the Ultimate Evidentiary Goal: “To Get at the Truth” .....	316
4.	Enforcement of the KJPs and the Rule of Law .....	319
<b>Chapter Eleven — From Burns to Words to Burns and Words: A Critical Analysis .....</b>		<b>331</b>
1.	Preamble .....	331
2.	Advanced Questions and Answers .....	332
(a)	Chapter One: The Role of Faith in Adjudication .....	332
(b)	Chapter Two: Faith and Bias: Enforcement of the Judicial Blindfold .....	335
(c)	Chapter Three: Meaning of Meaningless Evidence: The Beginning .....	337
(d)	Chapter Four: The Meaning of Meaningless Evidence: Linguistics and Meta-Mathematics .....	339
(e)	Chapter Five: Signs, Signs, Signs and Schematic Bias .....	340
(f)	Chapter Six: The Meaning of Meaning: Understanding the “Reasonable Person” .....	342
(g)	Chapter Seven: The Solution: The Meaning of Meaningful Evidence .....	350
(h)	Chapter Eight: Visual Definition I: Clusters, Layering, Silhouettes, Illustration and Integration .....	353
(i)	Chapter Nine: Visual Definition II: Demonstrative Graph Theory and Symbol Mapping .....	353
(j)	Chapter Ten: Admissibility and Evidentiary Networks .....	354
3.	A Final Thought: Beyond Blackstone .....	358
Bibliography .....		361
Index .....		371